

GOVERNMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
Department of Employment Services
Labor Standards Bureau

Office of Hearings and Adjudication
COMPENSATION REVIEW BOARD



(202) 671-1394-Voice
(202) 673-6402 - Fax

CRB No. 04-62

JACQUELINE S. HOLT,

Claimant – Petitioner,

v.

WASHINGTON METROPOLITAN AREA TRANSIT AUTHORITY,

Employer – Respondent.

Appeal from a Compensation Order of
Administrative Law Judge Anand K. Verma
OHA No. 01-103C, OWC No. 561056

Monica Myers Turnbo, Esquire, for the Petitioner

Sarah O. Rollins, Esquire, for the Respondent

Before: E. COOPER BROWN, *Chief Administrative Appeals Judge*, FLOYD LEWIS and SHARMAN J. MONROE *Administrative Appeals Judges*.

FLOYD LEWIS, *Administrative Appeals Judge*, on behalf of the Review Panel:

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

Jurisdiction is conferred upon the Compensation Review Board pursuant to D.C. Official Code §§ 32-1521.01 and 32-1522 (2004), 7 DCMR § 230, and the Department of Employment Services Director's Directive, Administrative Policy Issuance 05-01 (February 5, 2005).¹

¹ Pursuant to Administrative Policy Issuance No. 05-01, dated February 5, 2005, the Director of the Department of Employment Services realigned the Office of Hearings and Adjudication to include, *inter alia*, establishment of the Compensation Review Board (CRB) in implementation of the District of Columbia Fiscal Year 2005 Budget Support Act of 2004, Title J, the D.C. Workers' Compensation Administrative Reform and Anti-Fraud Amendment Act of 2004, sec. 1102 (Oct. 1, 1994), *codified at* D.C. Code Ann. §§ 32-1521.01, 32-1522 (2005). In accordance with the Director's Policy Issuance, the CRB replaces the Office of the Director in providing administrative appellate review and disposition of workers' and disability compensation claims arising under the D.C. Workers' Compensation Act of 1979, as amended, D.C. Code Ann. §§ 32-1501 to 32-1545 (2005) and the D.C. Government Comprehensive Merit Personnel Act of 1978, as amended, D.C. Code Ann. §§ 1-623.1 to 1.643.7 (2005), including responsibility for administrative appeals filed prior to October 1, 2004, the effective date of the D.C. Workers' Compensation Administrative Reform and Anti-Fraud Amendment Act of 2004.

CRB records indicate that on June 24, 2004, Petitioner filed an Application for Review of a Compensation Order issued in this matter. However, the appellate pleadings file did not contain a copy of the Application for Review nor any memorandum in support thereof. After Petitioner failed to respond to correspondence requesting copies of the Application for Review and memorandum, on January 11, 2006, the CRB ordered Petitioner to show cause, as to why this matter should not be dismissed for failure to prosecute. To date, Petitioner has failed to respond to the January 11, 2006 Order to Show Cause and as such, the appeal filed in this matter is dismissed.

Accordingly, it is hereby ordered that Petitioner's Application for Review be DISMISSED.

FOR THE COMPENSATION REVIEW BOARD:

FLOYD LEWIS
Administrative Appeals Judge

September 1, 2006
DATE