Reconstruction of Existing Public Right-of-Way (PROW)

MEP tailored to the streetscape portion of a Major Regulated Project

















Rain gardens will reduce runoff from storms.







When PROW MEP applies...

Reconstruction of existing public right-of-way

• Type 1: federal or municipal

- roads, alleys, sidewalks, trails, etc.

Adjacent Sidewalk	Adjacent Sidewalk
Adjacent Sidewalk	Adjacent Sidewalk

• Type 2: private development

- adjacent sidewalks and alleys

Development Parcel

When PROW MEP does not apply...

- A major regulated project that does not disturb the adjacent public right-of-way
- Voluntary retrofits of existing PROW
- PROW disturbance that is limited to
 - Trenches
 - Driveways
 - Utilities
 - Aprons
 - Minor disturbance







Principles of PROW MEP include...

- Maximize BMP placement
- Maximize BMP sizing
- Innovate--integrate "green" with "grey" infrastructure
- Minimize impervious widths
- Maximize land cover types with little stormwater runoff
- Maximize tree canopy
 - planting or preserving trees, amending soils, increasing soil volumes and connecting tree roots with stormwater runoff
- Use impervious surface disconnection strategies
 - e.g., draining sidewalk area to continuous tree planting strip
- Manage comingled stormwater runoff
 - prioritize the conveyance and control of roadway runoff
 - over-control the roadway runoff beyond LOD to compensate for less retention elsewhere
- Use porous pavement or pavers for low traffic roadways, on-street parking, shoulders or sidewalks
- Integrate BMPs into traffic calming measures

Type 2 MEP steps include...

- Calculate SWRv
- Prioritize managing roadway runoff inside the curb line
- Place, size and design PROW BMPs to maximize retention
 - Stormwater Management Guidebook Chapter 3 BMP specifications
 - Stormwater Management Guidebook Appendix B BMP priorities and limitations
 - General Retention Calculator Spreadsheet
 - DDOT LID Standards and Specifications
 <u>http://dc.gov/DC/DDOT/Projects+and+Planning/Environment/Low+Impact+Development</u>
- AWDZ requires WQTv

Limitations on Maximizing Retention

- Low infiltration rate, low head, topography
- Minimal surface area, or CDA, for BMP type
- High volume usage for BMP type or land cover conversion
- Building egress and exit demands
- Utilities, above & below ground
- Hotspots
- Safety issues, view lines
- Existing shade trees in good condition
- DDOT standards and guidelines

Parcel PROW MEP review process?

- Appendix B & E: follow the memo format with supporting documentation
 - Tables and charts
 - Maps, plan view
 - Narrative
- Submitted after the 65% design to ensure sufficient documentation to support claim if less then the full performance standard is anticipated.

Design Example: Scenario

- Corner property includes 200' x 10' adjacent PROW disturbance (sidewalk)
- SWRv = 1.2" x (0.95x100%) x 2000 sf x 7.48/12
- SWRv = 1,421 gallons
- Poor infiltration rate on site
- Sufficient head available for underdrain connections.

Design Example: Site Plan



ADA Crossing Requirements



• Driveways



Bus Stop



Building Exit and 5'-Wide Sidewalk



Utilities



• Existing Trees



Design Example: BMPs

Bioretention



Design Example: BMPs

 Permeable Pavement considered, but trees and utilities limit space available and much of remaining sidewalk drains to bioretention area.



Design Example: BMPs

• Reduce Impervious Cover



Design Example: Results

- Recalculate SWRv for Reduced Impervious Cover:
- SWRv =

1.2" × (0.95 × 84% + 0.25 × 16%) x 2,000 sf × 7.48/12

- SWRv = 1,254 gallons
- Poor infiltration rate on site
- Sufficient head available for underdrain connections.

Design Example: Results

- SWRv Achieved:
- 3 existing trees \times 20 cf \times 7.48 = 449 gallons
- 220 sf bioretention area (with shallow ponding) provides 823 gallons of storage
- 823 gallons x 0.6 = 494 gallons
- SWRv Achieved = 943 gallons
- Required SWRv not met, but MEP process followed.