

GOVERNMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

Department of Employment Services

MURIEL BOWSER
MAYOR



DEBORAH A. CARROLL
DIRECTOR

COMPENSATION REVIEW BOARD

CRB 14-075

SAUNDRA TAYLOR,
Claimant-Petitioner

v.

VERIZON COMMUNICATIONS,
Employer-Respondent

On Reconsideration of a April 28, 2015 Order
AHD No. 03-216, OWC No. 571165

DEPT. OF EMPLOYMENT
SERVICES
COMPENSATION REVIEW
BOARD
2015 MAY 19 PM 1 35

Saundra Taylor, *Pro Se* Claimant
Curtis B. Hane for the Employer

ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION

On April 28, 2015, the Compensation Review Board (“CRB”) issued an Order that denied the request by the claimant, Ms. Saundra Taylor, to supplement the record and to add new evidence. On May 1, 2015, Ms. Taylor filed a motion asking the CRB to reconsider.

In her motion for reconsideration, Ms. Taylor presents the same arguments that she previously made and were not accepted by the CRB, either in prior motion to supplement the record or in previous attempts by Claimant to supplement the record in her previous claims. We adopt our previous determinations by reference. The fact that a document which was introduced at a formal hearing is incomplete does not make it a fraudulent document and the employer was under no legal obligation to move into evidence at the formal hearing answers and documents it obtained through pre-hearing discovery.

Lastly, the CRB, as it did in a footnote in the previous Order, questions the authenticity of the item in Appendix One, Request for Production of Documents, because it appears to be a combination of two documents. The title page says it was submitted by Employer but the third page says it was submitted by Claimant’s counsel.

For these reasons, the CRB hereby DENIES Claimant’s Motion for Reconsideration.

FOR THE COMPENSATION REVIEW BOARD:


LAWRENCE D. TARR
Chief Administrative Appeals Judge

May 19, 2015
DATE