# Contract Appeals Board

http://cab.dc.gov

Telephone: 202-727-6597

				% Change
	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	from
Description	Actual	Approved	<b>Proposed</b>	FY 2012
Operating Budget	\$764,749	\$796,107	\$1,051,447	32.1
FTEs	5.6	6.0	8.0	33.3

The mission of the Contract Appeals Board is to provide an impartial, expeditious, inexpensive, and knowledgeable forum for the hearing and resolving of contractual disputes and protests involving the District and its contracting communities.

#### **Summary of Services**

The Contract Appeals Board adjudicates protests of District contract solicitations and awards, appeals by contractors of District contracting officer final decisions, claims by the District against contractors, appeals by contractors of suspensions and debarments, and contractor appeals of interest payment claims under the Quick Payment Act. The agency's FY 2013 proposed budget is presented in the following tables:

# FY 2013 Proposed Gross Funds Operating Budget, by Revenue Type

Table AF0-1 contains the proposed FY 2013 agency budget compared to the FY 2012 approved budget. It also provides FY 2010 and FY 2011 actual expenditures.

#### Table AF0-1

(dollars in thousands)

Appropriated Fund	Actual FY 2010	Actual FY 2011	Approved FY 2012	Proposed FY 2013	Change from FY 2012	Percent Change*
General Fund						
Local Funds	1,032	765	796	1,051	255	32.1
Total for General Fund	1,032	765	796	1,051	255	32.1
Gross Funds	1,032	765	796	1,051	255	32.1

<sup>\*</sup>Percent change is based on whole dollars.

Note: If applicable, for a breakdown of each Grant (Federal and Private), Special Purpose Revenue type and Intra-District agreement, please refer to Schedule 80 Agency Summary by Revenue Source in the FY 2013 Operating Appendices located on the Office of the Chief Financial Officer's website.

# FY 2013 Proposed Full-Time Equivalents, by Revenue Type

Table AF0-2 contains the proposed FY 2013 FTE level compared to the FY 2012 approved FTE level by revenue type. It also provides FY 2010 and FY 2011 actual data.

#### **Table AF0-2**

Appropriated Fund	Actual FY 2010	Actual FY 2011	Approved FY 2012	Proposed FY 2013	Change from FY 2012	Percent Change
General Fund						
Local Funds	5.5	5.6	6.0	8.0	2.0	33.3
Total for General Fund	5.5	5.6	6.0	8.0	2.0	33.3
Total Proposed FTEs	5.5	5.6	6.0	8.0	2.0	33.3

### FY 2013 Proposed Operating Budget, by Comptroller Source Group

Table AF0-3 contains the proposed FY 2013 budget at the Comptroller Source Group (object class) level compared to the FY 2012 approved budget. It also provides FY 2010 and FY 2011 actual expenditures.

Table AF0-3

(dollars in thousands)

,					Change	
	Actual	Actual	Approved	Proposed	from	Percent
Comptroller Source Group	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2012	Change*
11 - Regular Pay - Continuing Full Time	237	211	236	404	167	70.9
12 - Regular Pay - Other	389	375	420	439	19	4.6
13 - Additional Gross Pay	0	44	0	0	0	N/A
14 - Fringe Benefits - Current Personnel	112	100	120	168	48	39.9
Subtotal Personal Services (PS)	738	731	776	1,010	235	30.2
20 - Supplies and Materials	1	3	3	3	0	2.0
31 - Telephone, Telegraph, Telegram, Etc.	8	1	0	0	0	N/A
32 - Rentals - Land and Structures	244	0	0	0	0	N/A
35 - Occupancy Fixed Costs	1	0	0	0	0	N/A
40 - Other Services and Charges	7	6	2	2	1	34.9
41 - Contractual Services - Other	27	16	13	20	7	50.0
70 - Equipment and Equipment Rental	6	8	3	17	14	456.9
Subtotal Nonpersonal Services (NPS)	294	34	20	41	21	103.1
Gross Funds	1,032	765	796	1,051	255	32.1

<sup>\*</sup>Percent change is based on whole dollars.

## **Program Description**

The Contract Appeals Board operates through the following 2 programs:

Adjudication – the Contract Appeals Board adjudicates protests of District contract solicitations and awards, appeals by contractors of District contracting officer final decisions, claims by the District against contractors, appeals by contractors of suspensions and debarments, and contractor appeals of interest payment claims under the Quick Payment Act.

Contract Appeals Board (Agency Management Program) – provides for administrative support and the required tools to achieve operational and programmatic results. This program is standard for all agencies using performance-based budgeting.

#### **Program Structure Change**

The Contract Appeals Board has no program structure changes in the FY 2013 proposed budget.

# FY 2013 Proposed Operating Budget and FTEs, by Program and Activity

Table AF0-4 contains the proposed FY 2013 budget by program and activity compared to the FY 2012 approved budget. It also provides the FY 2011 actual data.

Table AF0-4 (dollars in thousands)

	Dollars in Thousands				Full-Time Equivalents			
Program/Activity	Actual FY 2011	Approved FY 2012	Proposed FY 2013	Change from FY 2012	Actual FY 2011	Approved FY 2012	Proposed FY 2013	Change from FY 2012
(1000) Contract Appeals Board								
(1030) Property Management	1	0	1	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
(1090) Performance Management	169	185	389	204	0.9	1.0	3.0	2.0
Subtotal (1000) Contract Appeals Board	169	186	390	204	0.9	1.0	3.0	2.0
(2000) Adjudication								
(2001) Adjudication	595	611	662	51	4.6	5.0	5.0	0.0
Subtotal (2000) Adjudication	595	611	662	51	4.6	5.0	5.0	0.0
Total Proposed Operating Budget	765	796	1,051	255	5.6	6.0	8.0	2.0

(Change is calculated by whole numbers and numbers may not add up due to rounding)

Note: For more detailed information regarding the proposed funding for the activities within this agency's programs, please see Schedule 30-PBB Program Summary by Activity in the FY 2013 Operating Appendices located on the Office of the Chief Financial Officer's website.

## **FY 2013 Proposed Budget Changes**

The Contract Appeals Board's (CAB) proposed FY 2013 gross budget is \$1,051,447, which represents a 32.1 percent increase over its FY 2012 approved gross budget of \$796,107. The budget is comprised entirely of Local funds.

# **Current Service Funding Level**

The Current Services Funding Level (CSFL) is a Local funds ONLY representation of the true cost of operating District agencies, before consideration of policy decisions. The CSFL reflects changes from the FY 2012 approved budget across multiple programs, and it estimates how much it would cost an agency to continue its current programs and operations into the following fiscal year. The initial adjustments in the budget proposal represent changes that should be compared to the FY 2013 CSFL budget and not necessarily changes made to the FY 2012 Local funds budget. The FY 2013 CSFL adjustments to the FY 2012 Local funds budget are described in table 5 of this agency's budget chapter. Please see the CSFL Development section within Volume 1: Executive Summary for more information regarding the methodology used and components that comprise the CSFL.

CAB's FY 2013 CSFL budget is \$808,196, which represents a \$12,089, or 1.5 percent, increase over the FY 2012 approved Local funds budget of \$796,107.

#### **Initial Adjusted Budget**

Cost Increase: \$6,433 in Local funds to support a cost increase in Contractual Services.

Cost Decrease: \$6,433 in Local funds in personal services resulting from expected vacancy savings.

#### **Additionally Adjusted Budget**

Technical Adjustment: Adjustment of Fringe Benefits to restore the District Government contribution for employee health insurance from 72 percent, implemented in the FY 2011 budget, to 75 percent in FY 2013, resulting in an increase of \$2,807 in Local funds.

#### **Policy Initiative**

Cost Increase: \$28,196 in the Adjudication program and \$8,248 in the Contract Appeals program to cover a pay equity adjustment related to D.C. Code section 2.309.01, \$190,000 and 2.0 FTEs in the Contract Appeal program to alleviate the agency's backlog of cases, and \$14,000 in the Adjudication program for Equipment and necessary software upgrades.

## FY 2012 Approved Budget to FY 2013 Proposed Budget, by Revenue Type

Table AF0-5 itemizes the changes by revenue type between the FY 2012 approved budget and the FY 2013 proposed budget.

lollars in thousands)	Program	BUDGET	FTE
OCAL FUNDS: FY 2012 Approved Budget and FTE		796	6.0
Fringe Benefit Rate Adjustment	Multiple Programs	8	0.0
Consumer Price Index (less than \$500)	Multiple Programs	0	0.0
Personal Services Growth Factor	Multiple Programs	3	0.0
Y 2013 Current Services Funding Level Budget (CSFL)		808	6.0
Cost Increase: In Local funds to support contractual costs related to document archiving	Multiple Programs	6	0.0
Cost Decrease: In Local funds from expected vacancy savings to support cost increase in Contractual Services	Multiple Programs	-6	0.0
Y 2013 Initial Adjusted Budget		808	6.0
Technical Adjustment: Health insurance contribution	Multiple Programs	3	0.0
Y 2013 Additionally Adjusted Budget		811	6.0
Y 2013 Policy Initiatives			
Cost Increase: To cover pay equity adjustment related to D.C. Code section 2.309.01	Adjudication	28	0.0
Cost Increase: To cover pay equity adjustment related to D.C. Code section 2.309.01	Contract Appeals Board	8	0.0
Cost Increase: To alleviate backlog of cases	Contract Appeals Board	190	2.0
Cost Increase: Equipment and software upgrades	Adjudication	14	0.0
OCAL FUNDS: FY 2013 Proposed Budget and FTE		1,051	8.0

(Change is calculated by whole numbers and numbers may not add up due to rounding)

## **Agency Performance Plans**

The agency's performance plan has the following objectives for FY 2013:

**Objective 1:** Promote confidence in the integrity of the procurement process through equitable, timely, efficient, and legally correct adjudication of disputes and protests.

Objective 2: Assist parties to resolve disputes through negotiation and settlement by initiating early case intervention, focusing attention on critical facts, resolving threshold legal issues, and conducting regular status conferences.

Objective 3: Educate government and private contracting parties on resolving disputes through traditional and alternative dispute resolution methods.

# **Agency Performance Measures**

	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
Measure	Actual	Target	Actual	Projection	Projection	Projection
Percentage of protests resolved within 60 business days.	86.84%	90%	73%	90%	TBD	TBD
Percentage of appeals cases decided within 4 months of the cases being ready for decision.	80.77%	90%	85%	90%	TBD	TBD
Percentage of new cases using electronic filing system.	100%	100%	100%	100%	TBD	TBD
Percentage of decisions sustained on appeal	100%	100%	Not Available	100%	TBD	TBD
Percentage of cases closed by the Board which are electronically archived to permit web-based retrieval and full-text searching capability.	100%	95%	100%	95%	TBD	TBD