

GOVERNMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

Department of Employment Services

MURIEL BOWSER
MAYOR



ODIE DONALD II
DIRECTOR

COMPENSATION REVIEW BOARD

CRB No. 16-165 (1)

**CAMILLE CAESAR,
Claimant-Petitioner,**

v.

**CACI INTERNATIONAL, INC.,
and AIG,
Employer/Third Party Administrator-Respondent**

On Reconsideration of a March 23, 2017, Decision and Order
affirming a November 30, 2016 Compensation Order
by Administrative Law Judge Amelia G. Govan
AHD No. 16-435, OWC No. 721486

(Decided May 25, 2017)

Camille Caesar, *pro se* Claimant
Joel E. Ogden for Employer

Before GENNET PURCELL, HEATHER C. LESLIE, and LINDA F. JORY, *Administrative Appeals Judges*

GENNET PURCELL for the Compensation Review Board.

ORDER DENYING REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

In an Order dated November 30, 2016, an Administrative Law Judge ("ALJ") in the Administrative Hearings Division ("AHD") issued a Compensation Order denying Claimant's claim for relief. *Caesar v. CACI International, Inc.*, AHD No. 16-435 (November 30, 2016). ("CO").

Claimant filed an Application for Review ("AFR") seeking reversal of the CO. On March 23, 2017, the Compensation Review Board ("CRB") issued a Decision and Order affirming the CO. *Caesar v. CACI International, Inc.*, CRB No. 16-165 (March 23, 2017) ("the Decision").

DEPT. OF EMPLOYMENT
SERVICES
COMPENSATION REVIEW
BOARD
2017 MAY 25 AM 11 53

On March 30, 2017, Claimant filed a timely Request for Reconsideration of the Decision. Employer did not file an Opposition to Claimant's Request for Reconsideration.

In support of Claimant's request for reconsideration, Claimant asserts several points:

- That the Decision makes no reference to Claimant's argument that the Insurer's IME was conditioned upon medical records that do not exist.
- That the Decision does not discuss Claimant's right to present her case orally.
- That the Decision fails to address whether the IME doctor "an individual with no background or board certification in nephrology, cardiology, or internal medicine" was "objectively unqualified to render an opinion about these areas of medicine."

Claimant's Request for Reconsideration at 1-5.

Upon review of Claimant's request for reconsideration, we reiterate the provisions of our March 23, 2017 Decision and Order reviewing the November 30, 2016 Compensation Order. All issues summarized above and relating to the Decision Claimant seeks to have reconsidered have been specifically addressed by the CRB. *See Caesar v. CACI International, Inc.*, CRB No. 16-165 (March 23, 2017). The March 23, 2017 Decision and Order is final and not subject to revision, rescission or reconsideration.

Claimant's Motion for Reconsideration is **DENIED**.

So ordered.