

**GOVERNMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA**

Department of Employment Services

VINCENT C. GRAY  
MAYOR



LISA M. MALLORY  
DIRECTOR

**COMPENSATION REVIEW BOARD  
CRB No. 11-019(2)**

**MARYANNE TAGOE,  
Claimant,**

**v.**

**HOWARD UNIVERSITY HOSPITAL AND SEDGWICK CMS,  
Employer and Carrier.**

Maryanne Tagoe, Claimant, *pro se*  
William H. Schladt, Esquire, for Employer and Carrier

Before LAWRENCE D. TARR, MELISSA LIN JONES, AND HENRY W. MCCOY, *Administrative Appeals Judges*.

**RESPONSE TO CLAIMANT’S MOTION FOR CLARIFICATION, CORRECTION, MODIFICATION AND  
RECONSIDERATION OF THE MARCH 14, 2012, ORDER**

The Compensation Review Board (CRB) has received a Motion from the claimant asserting that the March 14, 2012, Order contains several errors.

The claimant first asserts that the CRB mischaracterized her claim by saying her claim asserted “she was disabled because of migraine headaches caused by the stroke.” This is consistent with what the Court of Appeals stated in 2006 when it wrote “Maryanne Tagoe claims that she has had disabling migraine headaches as a result of a work-related injury, namely a stroke that she suffered on October 4, 2000.” We see no significant difference between the two and therefore will not change our Order.

The claimant also asserts that the CRB erred in identifying the date of her initial claim, when it wrote that the claim was filed in 2003. It appears that the claim was filed in 2001, and the first formal hearing took place, on July 16, 2003.

However, because there presently is no dispute that involves the timing of Ms. Tagoe’s initial claim, and no decision in the order that is affected by this error, it is harmless and we will not issue an amended decision because of that error.

Ms. Tagoe’s other dispute with the March 14, 2012, Order is that it limited the correction in her mileage rate reimbursement to 2000 and 2001, when she claimed mileage reimbursement through 2009. The CRB, with one member dissenting, held that the claimant shall be entitled to the mileage rate reimbursements identified on OWC’s July 21, 2011, Memorandum.

The CRB cannot tell from its file whether the claimant claimed mileage reimbursement for 2001-2009. However, since this case is on remand this concern can be addressed by the ALJ upon remand.

Ms. Tagoe's remaining concerns involve a matter already on remand (claimant's request for interest), a matter that we hereby deny (claimant's request that CRB publish mileage rates) or are requests we shall not address because they do not directly involve matters for which the March 12, 2012, Order was issued.

FOR THE COMPENSATION REVIEW BOARD:

\_\_\_\_\_  
LAWRENCE D. TARR  
ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS JUDGE

March 29, 2012  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Date